

Appl. No. 10/791,340
Response to Office Action mailed February 22, 2007

REMARKS


It would appear the examiner did not consider a Preliminary Amendment filed in the present application on October 19, 2004. In that Preliminary Amendment, a correction was made in the specification, and claims 2, 3, 5, and 9-11 were canceled. Subsequent to the Preliminary Amendment, there was one claim, namely claim 1, directed to the nozzle of Group I, and four claims directed to the method of Group II, with those claims being claims 4 and 6-8. Accordingly, applicant is electing for prosecution in the present application, claims 4 and 6-8.

The title of the application has been amended herein to more accurately reflect the claimed invention.

Should the examiner have any questions regarding the above, it is requested she contact attorney for applicant at the below-listed number.

Dated: March 20, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,


Gary M. Polumbus, Reg. No. 25,364
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
370 Seventeenth Street, Suite 4700
Denver, Colorado 80202-5647
Tel: 303-629-3400
Fax: 303-629-3450

USPTO Customer No.: 20686